

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/797,103 03/11/2004 Takahiro Saito Q79127 4570 **EXAMINER** 23373 06/01/2005 7590 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC BARNEY, SETH E 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 3752

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6	
	&

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/797,103	SAITO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Seth Barney	3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** 

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Stat	us
------	----

<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March	2005.
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pai	•
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5,7 and 8 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9</u> is/are rejected.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	ction requirement.
Application Papers	•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: a)□	accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	e been received.
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li></ol>	e been received in Application No
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do</li></ol>	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PC	• **
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
or in minimation disclosure statement(S) (PTO-1449 OF PTO/SB/08)	o, in troude of informaci atent Application (r 10-102)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

6) Other: \_

Application/Control Number: 10/797,103

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "inlet having a diameter that is slightly greater than the diameter of a flat bottom face of the valve body, the flat bottom face being positioned within the inlet" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,103 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: The exact language "flat bottom face of the valve body" is not found in the specification. The examiner suggests inserting this language into the speciation. The examiner acknowledges that adding this language into the specification would not constitute new matter. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1, 2, 3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,769,625 to Peterson Jr. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,814,309 to Rieger et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Peterson, Jr. discloses a fuel injection valve having:

-a valve seat face (134a) for allowing a valve body (126a) to be seated thereon when the valve body is closed.

-an injection port (135) formed on a downstream side of the valve seat face. See Figure 2A and 2B.

-a nozzle plate (10) connected to the valve seat member and disposed on a downstream side of the injection port, the nozzle plate being formed with a plurality of nozzle holes (142), the nozzle holes disposed radially outwardly with respect to the injection port, a fuel passage (146) having a cross section substantially perpendicular to an axis of the injection port, the cross section of the fuel passage having a diameter which is substantially gradually increased. See Figures 2A and 2B.

Peterson does not disclose a flat bottom face of the valve body having a diameter smaller than the inlet such that the valve body is positioned within the inlet when the valve body is closed. Rieger discloses a fuel injector having a valve body with a flat bottom face having that is positioned within an inlet. See Figurers 1 and 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the valve body of Peterson with the valve body of Rieger in order to provide a more effective fuel injector.

Art Unit: 3752

Regarding claim 2, the fuel passage is shaped as a cone having a diameter that gradually and continuously increases away from the outlet of the injection port. See Figures 2A and 2B.

Regarding claim 3, the fuel passage is shaped into a frustum of the cone. See Figures 2A and 2B.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 10 is allowed.
- 7. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/797,103

Art Unit: 3752

Page 6

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is (571)272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571)272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seth Barney Examiner Art Unit 3752

David A. Scherbel

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

sb